

SPot Results Day 2020

Residual and Contact Herbicide Trials

Joe Martin & Graham Tomalin





Content

- Aims
- Replicated trials residual herbicides
- Demonstration trials contact herbicide



Aims

Residual Herbicides -

- To study the effect of aclonifen in combination with a range of other available residual herbicides
- Observe any potential phytotoxicity effects of the residuals used

Contact Herbicides –

 To observed the effects and safety of timing of applications of alternative contact herbicides (as a replacement for diquat) for early post-emergence use



Residual Herbicides – Sites

- SPot N
- Varieties Maris Piper and Sunita
- Mechanically planted 15th April 2019
- Soil type Sandy Loam
- 4 replicates
- SPot W
- Variety Maris Piper
- Mechanically planted 7th May 2019
- Soil Type Clay Loam
- 4 replicates





Residual Herbicides – Treatments

- SPot N
- Application 30th April
- Pre-emergence of the crop and prior to cracking (BBCH 03)
- SPot W
- Application 16th May
- Pre-emergence of the crop and prior to cracking (BBCH 05-08)

Treatment number	Treatment				
1	Untreated Check				
2	Emerger 1.75 L/ha				
3	Shotput 0.5 kg/ha; Praxim 2.5 L/ha				
4	Emerger 1.75 L/ha; Shotput 0.5 kg/ha				
5	Emerger 1.75 L/ha; Praxim 2.5 L/ha				
6	Emerger 1.75 L/ha; Stomp Aqua 2.0 L/ha				
7	Emerger 1.75 L/ha; Gamit 36 CS 0.15 L/ha				
8	Emerger 1.75 L/ha; Praxim 2.5 L/ha; Defy 3.0 L/ha				

AHDB SPot Farm East Residual Herbicide Demonstration

Phytotoxicity

Application of the residual active substances can lead to phytotoxic effects particularly

Metribuzin – veinal yellowing/chlorosis – stunting – varietal variation (label "do not use Shoput on sands")





VCS POTATOES LTD

AHDB SPot Farm East Residual Herbicide Demonstration

Phytotoxicity

Application of the residual active substances can lead to phytotoxic effects particularly

Clomazone – chlorosis- whitening – varietal variation (label " do not use Gamit 36EC on sands or very light soils"





AHDB SPot Farm East Residual Herbicide Demonstration

Phytotoxicity

Application of the residual active substances can lead to phytotoxic effects particularly

Pendimethalin – slight yellowing of leat margins, leaf distortions (label Stomp Aqua "on stony or gravely soils there is a risk of crop damage especially if heavy rain falls soon after application")







Residual Herbicides – Weed levels and assessment timing

SPot N

- Knotgrass, oilseed rape and bindweed
- Assessment 55DAA (24th June)







Residual Herbicides – Weed levels and assessment timing

SPot W

- very low numbers emerged and little weed burden – Fat-hen, mayweed, groundsel, nettle
- Assessments 32DAA (17 June) and 75DAA (30 July)





Residual Herbicides – Results

- **Phytoxicity** No crop damage
- Weed control –
- SPot N
- Some weed control observed by aclonifen alone, improved when tank mixed and effective as a partner
- SPot W
- Due to the low weed burden good control observed across all treatments























- Full control of oilseed rape from all treatments
- Emerger achieved partial control of field bindweed and knotgrass although not commercially acceptable
- In tank mix Emerger achieved excellent control Growers on site felt the best control included Treatment 3

Trt	Product	Weed	Vol OSR	Field bindweed	Knotgrass
		U/T level (m ²)	6	5	7.3
		% control			
		Rate (/ha)			
1	Untreated	N/A	0	0	0
2	Emerger	1.75	100 a	75.0 b	74.6 b
3	Shotput + Praxim	0.5kg + 2.5 l	100 a	80.0 ab	96.9 a
4	Emerger + Shotput	1.75l + 0.5kg	100 a	90.0 ab	96.9 a
5	Emerger + Praxim	1.75l + 2.5l	100 a	95.0 a	95.8 a
6	Emerger + Stomp Aqua	1.75 + 2	100 a	100 a	100 a
7	Emerger + Gamit 36 CS	1.75 + 0.15	100 a	100 a	100 a
8	Emerger + Praxim + Defy	1.75l + 2.5l + 3l	100 a	100 a	100 a



Residual Herbicides – Costs

Trt. N°	Product / Formulation	Rate of product/ha		Dosage a.i. in g/ha	cost per ha	cost per ha (total)	cost per acre	cost per acre (total)
1	Untreated	-		-				
2	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 31.50	£ 12.75	£ 12.75
3	Shotput	0.5	Kg	350	£ 14.40	£ 78.40	£ 5.83	£ 31.73
	Praxim	2.5	L	1250	£ 64.00		£ 25.90	
4	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 45.90	£ 12.75	£ 18.58
	Shotput	0.5	Kg	350	£ 14.40		£ 5.83	
5	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 95.50	£ 12.75	£ 38.65
	Praxim	2.5	L	1250	£ 64.00		£ 25.90	
6	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 49.16	£ 12.75	£ 19.90
	Stomp Aqua	2	L	910	£ 17.66		£ 7.15	
7	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 48.81	£ 12.75	£ 19.75
	Gamit 36 CS	0.15	L	54	£ 17.31		£ 7.00	
8	Emerger	1.75	L	1050	£ 31.50	£ 117.40	£ 12.75	£ 47.51
	Praxim	2.5	L	1250	£ 64.00		£ 25.90	
	Defy	3	L	2400	£ 21.90		£ 8.86	

Based on data supplied by Eurofins and Agrii

Residual Herbicides – Summary Trials 2019



- No crop damage observed from pre-emergence applications
- Emerger (aclonifen) gave good levels of control which were improved when tank mixed showing it to be an effective partner
- Costs of herbicide mixes and weed burdens at each site need to be considered when choosing products for weed control

Residual Herbicides Spot East 2016-2018

Residual Herbicides – Conclusions

- Emerger(aclonifen) good activity Fat Hen / S.nettle / Mayweed /charlock /AMG but requires application within mixes (excellent crop safety pre emergence)
- Praxim(metabromuron) good activity B.bindweed/Knotgrass/S.nettle but requires application within mixes (excellent crop safety pre emergence)
- Shotput (metribuzin) most cost effective broad spectrum control(not cleavers) however rate dependant on soil types and varietal sensitivity
- Stomp Aqua- cost effective broad spectrum(not groundsel/charlock), poor in dry conditions, apply 7 days pre emergence and avoid application on sands
- Defy cost effective cleaver control requires application within mixes
- Gamit 36CS cost effective cleaver control varietal sensitivity

3 Way mixes provide broader weed spectrum control





Contact Herbicides – Sites

- SPot SW
- Varieties Georgina and Lanorma
- Hand planted 15th April 2019





- SPot E
- Varieties Nectar, Maris Piper, Jelly, Sovereign
- Hand planted 16th April 2019



Contact Herbicides – Treatments

Treatment number	Treatment				
1	Retro 4.0L		А		
2	Shark 0.333L	Praxim 4.0L	А		
3	Retro 4.0L	Shotput 0.35Kg	В		
4	Shark 0.333L	Gamit 36CS 0.15L Emerger 1.75L	В		
5	Retro 4.0L		С		
6	Shark 0.333L		С		
7	Handweeded Control				
8	Untreated Control				



Contact Herbicides – Application timings

Site	Variety	Timing	Crop GS	Date
SPot SW	Georgina	A – Pre emergence	Cracking of ridges BBCH 08	23 May
		B – 10% emergence	BBCH 09	3 June
		C – 50% emergence	BBCH 09-10	5 Jun
	Lanorma	A – Pre emergence	Cracking of ridges BBCH 08	23 May
		B – 10% emergence	BBCH 08-10	7 Jun
		C – 50% emergence	BBCH 09-10	10 Jun

Site	Variety	Timing	Crop GS	Date
SPot E	Dipor Jolly	A – Pre emergence	Cracking of ridges BBCH 07	3 May
		B – 10% emergence	BBCH 09-11	15 May
		C – 50% emergence	BBCH 10-12	18 May

AHDB

Contact Herbicides – Weed levels and assessment timings



- Wild oat, red dead nettle, common groundsel, ivy-leaved speedwell and vol oilseed rape
- Assessments 19, 26, 34, 47 and 54DAC (24 June, 01, 09, 22 and 29 July)
- SPot E
- Fat-hen, fumitory, common groundsel, nettle
- Assessments 6, 13, 19, 32 and 41DAC (24 and 31 May, 6, 19 and 28 June)

U/T East





Variety	Jelly		Maris Piper		Nectar		Sovereign	
Assessment date	24 th May	31 st May						
Retro (pre)	10	5	0	0	2	0	0	5
Shark (pre)	10	10	0	0	5	0	0	5
Retro (10%)	10	20	0	5	10	5	2	10
Shark (10%)	10	30	2	5	10	5	4	5
Retro (50%	30	50	15	15	30	15	25	40
Shark (50%)	40	60	20	20	30	20	25	50
Handweeded	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Untreated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The highest levels of phytotoxicity were seen at the 50% application timings.

Overall the treatments with Shark at the 50% application timings showed slightly more damage than the same timing with Retro.

The varieties varied in their levels of damage, with Jelly being the worst affected (maximum 60% damage) and Maris Piper being the least affected (maximum 20% damage).

No further symptoms on crops were visible by 19 days post application.

Crop vigour

- Overall most severe symptoms seen at the 13 day assessment, at 10% and 50% emergence application timing, with 50% - 70% reduction in crop vigour.
- In general the application at the 50% emergence timing with Shark were the worst affected.
- By the 32 day assessment, only minor differences were apparent across the 4 varieties in the plots treated at the final application timing with Shark.
- Symptoms gradually declined and by the final assessment no differences in crop vigour were observed across any varieties or treatments.
- Jelly showed the most severe symptoms, with a 50% reduction in crop vigour at the final application timing with Shark. Maris Piper was the least affected variety with 40% reduction at the final application timing with Shark.





Pre-em



10%







Phytotoxicity

- Georgina showed very little phytotoxic symptoms, with the only minor damage seen at 14 days after application, in the plots treated with Retro at 50% emergence. No other phytotoxic symptoms observed.
- Lanorma was more affected, with chlorotic symptoms seen at the 14 day assessment in the plots treated with Retro at both the 10% emergence (10% damage) and 50% emergence (40% damage) timings. Severe symptoms were also seen in the treatment with Shark at the 50% emergence timing (75% damage). One week later, symptoms were only visible in the plot treated with Retro at 50% timing, and after this no further damage was seen.







Crop vigour

- Clear differences in crop vigour were observed across all plots of both Georgina and Lanorma treated at 10% and 50% emergence, for the duration of the trial.
- The later application timings (10% and 50% emergence) caused the greatest differences when compared to the untreated control.
- Georgina was less affected, but the 50% emergence application timing with Shark was still the worst affected, with a 55% reduction in vigour compared to a 40% reduction in crop vigour at the latest timings with Retro.
- As with Lanorma, symptoms gradually declined but a 20% reduction in crop vigour was still observed at the final assessment timing.



Field digs

- At the demonstration day in August, two plants from each plot were dug to see if there were differences in the number or size of tubers.
- Differences were seen in tuber formation, and growers felt that the 50% emergence application was too late and could be damaging to the crop and yield quality.





10%



Pre-em Shark



10% Shark





50% Diquat 50% Shark







50% Shark

50% Diquat







Pre-Emergence Shark 50% Shark







Contact Herbicides – Summary

Shark not direct replacement for diquat – more severe scorch will delay crop development (Gozai) – different weed spectrum to Retro

Need to be careful with timings, difference between 10% emergence and 50% emergence can be a matter of days, and makes a huge difference to crop.

Also damage varies depends on variety??? –(emergence/sensitivity to residual)

Extra Stress – effect on disease

Shark label only to 10% emergence -Always use recommended label rates.



Trial Reports and Summary



Contact

Thanks Questions?

• Joe.martin@ahdb.org.uk

Inspiring our farmers, growers and industry to succeed in a rapidly changing world



© Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2017 | All Rights Reserved